## Séminaire AMEDEE – Mars 2018

## Temperature effect on biomass transfers in coastal marine food webs

## Hubert Du Pontavice, William Cheung, Gabriel Reygondeau, Didier Gascuel

PhD title : Impacts of fisheries and climate change on the trophic functioning of the world ocean : models and forecast



The Nippon Foundation - University of British Columbia NEREUS PROGRAM Predicting Future Oceans





**Changing Ocean** 

**Research Unit** 



- Individual level : growth (Lefort et al., 2015), metabolism (Cheung et al. 2017, Lefevre et al., 2017), ...



 Individual level : growth (Lefort et al., 2015), metabolism (Cheung et al. 2017, Lefevre et al., 2017), ...



 Population level : survival, reproduction (Perry et al., 2005), connectivity (Lett et al., 2010) ...



- Individual level : growth (Lefort et al., 2015), metabolism (Cheung et al. 2017, Lefevre et al., 2017), ...
- Population level : survival, reproduction (Perry et al., 2005), connectivity (Lett et al., 2010) ...

- Community level : composition (Kaufman et al., 2017), distribution (Cheung et al., 2010), interaction (Chivers et al., 2016)...







- Individual level : growth (Lefort et al., 2015), metabolism (Cheung et al. 2017, Lefevre et al., 2017), ...
- Population level : survival, reproduction (Perry et al., 2005), connectivity (Lett et al., 2010) ...

Community level : **composition** (Kaufman et al., 2017), distribution (Cheung et al., 2010), interaction (Chivers et al., 2016)...

Changes in species assemblages





524





**Results** Discussion

Perspectives

## Sea temperature will affect marine communities

- The increase in sea temperature is one of the direct climate change effect
- Increased acceleration of this phenomenon from 2010



- Community can be simplified / synthesized as a flow

**Community representation** 

How does sea temperature affect biomass flows in marine food web?

## Looking at the functioning of marine food webs as a biomass flow



## Looking at the functioning of marine food webs as a biomass flow



AMEDEE – MARS 2018 - Hubert Du Pontavice

## Looking at the functioning of marine food webs as a biomass flow



AMEDEE – MARS 2018 - Hubert Du Pontavice

#### Biomass flow from one trophic level to the next



- $\rightarrow$  Species level : Biomass flow parameters
- 1) Finfishes



**Transfer Efficiency** 

 $\rightarrow$  Species level : Biomass flow parameters



Biological parameters per species

Speed of biomass flow - P/B Transfer Efficiency

Speed of the biomass flow

$$\frac{P}{B} = 20.19 \times TL^{-1.72} \times e^{0.053 \times T}$$
Gascuel et al., (2008)

TL : Trophic level

T : mean temperature between 1950 - 2010

**Results Discussion** 

## **Calculating integrated index : From species level to communities level**

 $\rightarrow$  Species level : Biomass flow parameters



Biological parameters per species

Speed of biomass flow - P/B

**Transfer Efficiency** 

food consumption rate

 $\frac{Q}{B} = 10^{7.964 - 0.204 \times \log_{10}(W) - 1.965 \times \frac{1000}{T} + 0.083 \times A + 0.532 \times h + 0.398 \times d}$ 

Palomares and Pauly (1998)

T : mean temperature between 1950 - 2010

W : Asymptotic weigth

A : Aspect ratio

h & d : herbivory & detrivory index

 $\rightarrow$  Species level : Biomass flow parameters



Biological parameters per species



Speed of biomass flow - P/B

Transfer Efficiency – P/Q

Gross food conversion efficiency

$$\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{P}{B}\right)}{\left(\frac{Q}{B}\right)} = \frac{Speed of the biomass flow}{food consumption rate}$$

- $\rightarrow$  Species level : Biomass flow parameters
- From Gross Food Conversion Efficiency (P/Q) to Transfer Efficiency (TE)



- $\rightarrow$  Species level : Biomass flow parameters
- From Gross Food Conversion Efficiency (P/Q) to Transfer Efficiency (TE)



- $\rightarrow$  Species level : Biomass flow parameters
- From Gross Food Conversion Efficiency (P/Q) to Transfer Efficiency (TE)



**Results** Discussion

Perspectives

- $\rightarrow$  Species level : Biomass flow parameters
- 1) Finfishes





Speed of biomass flow - P/B Transfer Efficiency - TE = P/Q x Cor



 $\rightarrow$  Species level : Catch and trophic level per species



Pauly & Zeller, 2015

Species Composition of catch 1950-2010





Cumulative

index

## Calculating integrated index : From species level to community level



From trophic spectra to ecosystem indicators Maureaud et al., 2017



AMEDEE – MARS 2018 - Hubert Du Pontavice

Ζ



## Study area and period: Coastal Ecosystems between 1950 and 2010



In every cell, for all the years between 1950 and 2010 :

- TCI : Time Cumulated Indicator
- TE : Transfer Efficiency

Polar Temperate Tropical Upwelling

## Global trends in transfer efficiency and in residence time



- Slight increase in partial Transfer Efficiency
- Decrease in Residence Time



Temperate

## Transfer efficiency and in residence time by ecosystem type



- Variation in TE and TCI depending on ecosystem type
- High variability in TE and TCI



Temperate

Upwelling

Polar

Temperate

Upwelling

Tropical

## Temperature effect on transfer efficiency and residence time



### Method : GLM

- Individuals : 1 cell in 1 year
- 3 covariates : SST + Ecosystem type + SST x Ecosystem types

## Temperature effect on transfer efficiency and residence time



- Decrease in Transfer Efficiency (TE) in all ecosystems

- Decrease in Residence Time (TCI) <-> Increase in the speed of biomass



## **Relation between biomass flows and species life history characteristics**

#### Method :

- Ratio log(K)/log(Linf) for finfishes using Fishbase
- 1 dot = 1 range of SST
- Combinations of log(K) and log(Linf) to explore the growth performance (Cury and Pauly, 2000; Silva *et al.*, 2008)



## **Relation between biomass flows and species life history characteristics**



 $\rightarrow$  Strong negative correlation between the TE / TCI and Growth characteristics

- Fast growing & high turn-over species where biomass transfers are fast and less efficient
- Slow growing & low turn-over species where biomass transfers are slow and efficient

Introduction Materials & Methods Results Discussion Perspectives

## Potential bias due to the use of catch data

→ Comparison Transfer Efficiency and Time Residence based on Biomass and Catch using 71 published ecosystem models (Ecopath)



TE from Catch < TE from Biomass</p>

TCI from catch > TCI from biomass

## Potential bias due to the use of catch data

→ Comparison : biomass flow patterns based on biomass and catch regarding the ecosystem types



- Same global patterns regarding the types of ecosystems using the biomass data from Ecopath
- Residence time much shorter using Ecopath data especially in polar ecosystem

- Multiple sources of uncertainty :
  - Species history traits
  - Global catch from SeaAroundUs despite the data cleansing
  - Quality of Ecopath models
- Indirect calculation of Transfer Efficiency based on empirical equation and parameters from Ecopath
- Very simple modelling glm including only fixed effect
  - ightarrow Autocorrelation spatial and temporal :
    - add random effects to include this variability ?
    - Subsample the data ?

#### Circularity of the analysis :

- Biomass flow parameters P/B and P/Q calculated from Temperature
- > Changes likely underestimated : Only the community level considered

Tropical Ecosystems : TE less sensitive to temperature and more diversity in terms of growth characteristics

Tropical Ecosystems more resilient ?



Tropical Ecosystems : TE less sensitive to temperature and more diversity in terms of growth characteristics

Tropical Ecosystems more resilient ?

TE from Catch < TE from Biomass and TCI from Catch > TCI from Catch

Fishing selects the least efficient species?



Tropical Ecosystems : TE less sensitive to temperature and more diversity in terms of growth characteristics

Tropical Ecosystems more resilient ?

TE from Catch < TE from Biomass and TCI from Catch > TCI from Catch Fishing selects the least efficient species?

## Conclusion

- At the global scale, **biomass transfers are faster and more efficient**
- Cold Ecosystems : slow and more efficient biomass transfers
   With slow growing & low turn-over species

   Warn Ecosystems : Fast and less efficient biomass transfers
   With fast growing & high turn-over species

# Simulation of unexploited biomass and production using the trophodynamic model : EcoTroph



Purpose : Inform the sensitivity unexploited production and biomass to climate change

-->Various climate change models and scenarios will be tested

# Thank you for your attention!



# Any questions?

## Speed of flows and partial trophic efficiency

- TCI : Time cumulated indicators  $\frac{P}{R} = 20.19 \times TL^{-1.72} \times e^{0.053 \times T}$ 

 $TCI_{j,y} = \sum_{\tau=2.0}^{\tau=4.0} \frac{\Delta \tau}{\left(\frac{P}{B}\right)_{\tau,j,y}} \quad \tau = 2: \text{ From secondary co}$  $\tau = 4: \dots \text{ to Top predator}$ 

 $\tau = 2$ : From secondary consummer ...

## - ECI : Efficiency cumulated indicators

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q \\ B \end{pmatrix}_{i,j} = 10^{7.964 - 0.204 \times \log_{10}(W_{i,j}) - 1.965 \times \frac{1000}{T_j} + 0.083 \times A_i + 0.532 \times h + 0.398 \times d }$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} P \\ Q \end{pmatrix} \tau = \frac{(P/B)\tau}{(Q/B)\tau} = \frac{Speed \ of \ the \ biomass \ flow}{food \ consumption \ rate}$$

$$ECI_{j,y} = \prod_{\tau=2.0}^{\tau=4.0} \left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)_{\tau,j,y}^{\Delta \tau}$$

**T** : Temperature **TE: Transfer Efficiency τ & TL : Trophic level** W: Asymptotic weigth A : Aspect ratio h & d : herbivory & detrivory index

Adapted from Gascuel et al., 2008

# **Speed of flows**

Indeed, *P*/*B* is a rate of regeneration of the biomass; it relates to the proportion of tissue which is elaborated (whether it survives or not) over a unit of time (Christensen et al., 2005). Each animal or group can be regarded as a 'unit' which transfers the biomass of prey into biomass of a predator, on average from TL = to TL = +1. And logically the regeneration rate defines the speed at which the production crosses through a given organism or a given trophic level. Assuming equilibrium, the *P*/*B* ratio is equal to the total mortality rate *Z* (Allen, 1971). This means that the total mortality rate *Z*, which may be considered a measure of the biomass turn-over (Paloheimo and Dickie, 1970), is also a measure of the speed of the trophic flow, passing through a given trophic level in steady-state conditions.